TO: Department for Transport,
Great Minster House
33 Horseferry Road London, SW1P 4DR
email: manstonairport@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

24th November 2021

ATTN: Natasha Kopala

Head of Transport Infrastructure Planning Unit

REF:

Response to the Secretary of States Letter, all interested parties 21st October 2021with the opportunity to consider the representations received in response to the Statement of Matters, the Secretary of State published representations from the First Round of Consultation on 30 July 2021and invites comments from the Applicant and representations from the Applicant and any Interested Party on the independent aviation assessor's draft report, and any Interested Party on whether this results in any change in whether the Development would be consistent with the requirements of national policies.

I have made the following observations on the responses made by interested parties in response to the Secretary of States Letter of 21st October 2021.

I and many others are pleased that a number of respondents to the secretary of state for transport's request for Re-determination of the Application by RiverOak Strategic Partners Limited ("the Applicant") for an Order granting Development Consent for the reopening and development of Manston Airport in Kent, have found it necessary to resort to "Fallacious argumentum ad hominem"

## And have been identified (22) as follows:

Andrew Hollins, Barry Latchford, Catherine Gardner, Chris Burrows, Cllr. Trica Austin, Francis & Yvonne McNamara, Grahame Birchall, Hilary and Ian Scott, Hugh Langston, Jane Hetherington, Janet Davies, Jonathan Dahms, Karen Roper, Kim Edgington, Laura Marks, Ian Scott and Cllr. David Green, Rita Burns, Suzanne Horne and Tim Garbutt,

and as a consequence have no weight attached to their letters. It is also noted that all those that have resorted to this are against the reopening of the airport, whilst none were found in those supporting the reopening and in so doing expose the weakness of their argument.

